

# Semiconductor-to-metal phase transition in monolayer ZrS2: GGA+U study

Ashok Kumar, Haiying He, Ravindra Pandey, P. K. Ahluwalia, and K. Tankeshwar

Citation: AIP Conference Proceedings **1665**, 090016 (2015); doi: 10.1063/1.4917996 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4917996 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/proceeding/aipcp/1665?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in Semiconductor-to-metal transition of Bi2Se3 under high pressure Appl. Phys. Lett. **105**, 062102 (2014); 10.1063/1.4892661

Sharp semiconductor-to-metal transition of VO2 thin films on glass substrates J. Appl. Phys. **114**, 244301 (2013); 10.1063/1.4851655

Electronic topological transition and semiconductor-to-metal conversion of Bi2Te3 under high pressure Appl. Phys. Lett. **103**, 052102 (2013); 10.1063/1.4816758

Enhanced hysteresis in the semiconductor-to-metal phase transition of VO 2 precipitates formed in SiO 2 by ion implantation Appl. Phys. Lett. **79**, 3161 (2001); 10.1063/1.1415768

Grain-size effect on pressure-induced semiconductor-to-metal transition in ZnS J. Appl. Phys. **86**, 6608 (1999); 10.1063/1.371631

# Semiconductor-to-metal Phase Transition in Monolayer ZrS<sub>2</sub>: GGA+U Study

Ashok Kumar<sup>1,\*</sup>, Haiying He<sup>2</sup>, Ravindra Pandey<sup>3</sup>, P. K. Ahluwalia<sup>4</sup> and K. Tankeshwar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics Department, Panjab University Chandigarh-160014, India <sup>2</sup>Valparaiso University Valparaiso, IN, USA <sup>3</sup>Michigan Technological University Houghton, MI, USA <sup>4</sup>Himachal Pradesh University Shimla-171005, India \*E-mail: ashok, 1777@vahoo.com

**Abstract.** We report structural and electronic properties of  $ZrS_2$  monolayer within density functional theory (DFT) by inclusion of Hubbard on-site Coulomb and exchange interactions. The importance of on-site interactions for both  $ZrS_2$  bulk and monolayer has been highlighted that significantly improves the electronic band-gap. It is demonstrated that mechanical strain induces structural phase transition that results in semiconductor-to-metal transition in monolayer  $ZrS_2$ . This phenomenon has important implications in technological applications such as flexible, low power and transparent electronic devices.

Keywords: Monolayer, TMDs, Electronic Structure, Strain, DFT, GGA+U. PACS: 71.15.-m, 71.20.-b, 73.22.-f, 64.60.-i

## **INTRODUCTION**

Transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) monolayers such as MoS<sub>2</sub>[1-2] have aroused enormous amount of interest not only because of their novel electronic and catalytic properties but also due to the wide range of tunability of these properties via mechanical strain and external electric field engineering[3-6]. Two-dimensional (2D) TMDs have been found to exist in a wide variety of materials ranging from metal to wide-gap semiconductors with more than one structural phases. It has been shown recently that Mo and W dichalcogenides can exhibit two thermodynamically stable hexagonal (H) and tetragonal (T) structural phases which provides opportunities for flexible, low power and transparent electronic devices[7].

In this paper, we present within state-of-art density functional theory (DFT) with inclusion of Hubbard U on-site Coulomb interactions that  $ZrS_2$  monolayer shows structural phase transition by applying mechanical biaxial strain, that results in semiconductor-to-metal transition.

# **COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

DFT calculations are performed using Projector-Augmented Wave (PAW) pseudopotential implementation of Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP)[8]. The electrons exchange and correlation effects are described by generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functional of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE). Kohn-Sham wave functions are expanded in a plane-wave basis set with a kinetic energy cutoff of 400 eV on a 20 × 20 ×1 Monkhorst-Pack grid using Gaussian smearing of 100 meV. A 25 Å vacuum region perpendicular to monolayer is used for calculations.

The on-site two-electron integrals are expressed in terms of two parameters U and J, which would appear in Hartree–Fock like treatment,. The Hubbard parameter U gives the strength of on-site Coulomb interactions while the parameter J adjust the strength of exchange interaction. These two parameters in the somewhat simplified, yet rotationally invariant method of Dudarev et al.[9], are combined into a single parameter  $U_{eff}$ =U-J, which has been taken as 5 eV in our calculations.

Solid State Physics AIP Conf. Proc. 1665, 090016-1–090016-3; doi: 10.1063/1.4917996 © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC 978-0-7354-1310-8/\$30.00

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

The most stable crystal structure of bulk  $ZrS_2$  exists in tetragonal (T) phase in contrast to the hexagonal (H) phase in MoS<sub>2</sub> and its dichalcogenides family. The atomic configurations of 2D  $ZrS_2$  triatomic monolayers in two phases are shown in Figure 1. The position of S atoms in upper atomic layer is directly above the position of S atoms in lower atomic layer in H-phase [Figure (1d)] while their positions are displaced in T-phase [Figure (1c)].



**FIGURE 1.**  $ZrS_2$  monolayer with T-phase [top view (a) and side view (c)] and H-phase [top view(b) and side view (d)]. Surface unit cell is also shown.

It is well-known that conventional DFT approach has shortcomings when applied to the systems containing partially d or f valance shells to describe electronic properties. The origin of this failure is associated with the inadequate description of the strong coulomb repulsion between the d or f electrons localized on metal ions. The lattice constant of bulk and monolayer ZrS<sub>2</sub> with both conventional DFT i.e. GGA and beyond DFT i.e. GGA+U level of theory remains nearly same as shown in Table 1. The calculated electronic band-gap of bulk  $ZrS_2$  is ~ 55% off than experimental value at GGA+PBE level whereas significant improvement in the band-gap value can be seen by including on-site interactions[Table 1]. Also ~ 45% band-gap opening

**TABLE 1.** Lattice constant and electronic band-gap of  $ZrS_2$ .

Parameter	Bulk	Monolayer(T)	Monolayer (H)
Lattice constant (Å)	3.69 <sup>a</sup> 3.73 <sup>b</sup> 3.66 <sup>c</sup>	3.70 <sup>a</sup> 3.75 <sup>b</sup>	3.58 <sup>a</sup> 3.65 <sup>b</sup>
Band-gap (eV)	$0.77^{a}$ $1.30^{b}$ $1.68^{c}$	1.10 <sup>a</sup> 1.60 <sup>b</sup>	$0.80^{a}$ $1.15^{b}$

<sup>a</sup> GGA+PBE; <sup>b</sup> GGA+PBE+U; <sup>c</sup> Exp.[10]

with GGA+U has been noticed in case of monolayer.

The mechanical strain has proved to an useful tool to alter the electronic properties of materials in the past [4-5]. The applied strain (e) is represented by  $\Delta a/a_0$ , where  $a_0$  is unstrained lattice constant and  $\Delta a$  is change in the lattice constant after deforming the lattice. The strained cell is modeled by varying the lattice value 'a' with homogeneous biaxial strain (e) as 'a'  $\rightarrow$  'ae'.



**FIGURE** 2. Total energy versus biaxial strain curve for the tetragonal (T) and hexagonal (H) phase of monolayer  $ZrS_2$ .

It has been demonstrated in Figure 2 that crossover occurs for two curves i.e. T-phase and H-phase, at 13% strain which indicates the structural phase transition in monolayer ZrS<sub>2</sub>. Contrary to MoS<sub>2</sub> and its family[7], the structural phase transition in ZrS<sub>2</sub> monolayer happen at compression strain. To see this structural phase transition effects on the electronic



**FIGURE 3**. Electronic band structures of monolayer  $ZrS_2$  in tetragonal (T) phase, hexagonal (H) phase and under 13% biaxial compression strain at GGA+PBE+U level of theory.

properties, we now look at the electronic band structure.

The electronic band structures of monolayer in Tphase, H-phase and the strained phase, where phase transition occur, are shown in Figure 3. In both T and H-phase,  $ZrS_2$  monolayer is an indirect band-gap semiconductor with band-gap of 1.6 eV and 1.2 eV respectively.

By applying strain, semiconductor-to-metal transition has been found to happen at the critical value of strain where structural phase transition has been observed. This phenomenon may have important technological applications and must be experimentally verified.



**FIGURE 4.** Electronic density of states (DOS) of monolayer  $ZrS_2$  in tetragonal (T) phase, hexagonal (H) phase and 13% biaxial compression strain.

Furthermore, it is evident from density of states (DOS) shown in Figure 4 that T-phase has wider gap at Fermi level as compared to H-phase whereas finite DOS at Fermi level in strained phase indicates metallic behavior in  $ZrS_2$  monolayer.

#### CONCLUSIONS

In conclusions, DFT+GGA+U calculations have been performed to investigate structural phase transition and consequent electronic properties in monolayer ZrS<sub>2</sub>. Inclusion of on-site Coulomb interaction significantly improve the band-gap of bulk ZrS<sub>2</sub>. Also approximately 45 % band-gap opening has been observed for monolayers. Structural phase transition occurs at 13 % compression strain that results in semiconductor-to-metal transition in monolayer ZrS<sub>2</sub> which may have technological importance.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AK is grateful to UGC for financial support in the form of D.S. Kothari post-doctoral fellowship. Superior, a high performance computing cluster at Michigan Technological University, was used in obtaining results presented in this paper.

### REFERENCES

- A. Kumar and P. K. Ahluwalia "Tunable Electronic and Dielectric Properties of Molybdenum Disulfide" *in MoS2: Materials, Physics, and Device,* edited by Z. M. Wang,Switzerland : Springer International Publishing, 2014, pp. 53-76.
- A. Kumar and P. K. Ahluwalia, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*135, 755-761 (2012).
- 3. A. Kumar and P. K. Ahluwalia, *Euro Phys J B* **85**, 186 (2012).
- A. Kumar and P. K. Ahluwalia, *Physica B* 407, 4627-4634 (2012); ibid 419, 66-75 (2013).
- A. Kumar and P. K. Ahluwalia, *Model. Simul. Maters. Sci. Enginer.* 21, 065015 (2013).
- A. Kumar and P. K. Ahluwalia, J. Alloys Comps.550, 283-291 (2013); ibid 587, 459-467 (2014).
- K. N. Duerloo, Y. Li and E. J. Reed, *Nat. Communs.* 5, 4214 (2014).
- G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, *Phys. Rev. B.* 54, 11169-11186 (1996).
- S.L. Dudarev, G.A. Botton, S.Y. Savrasov, C.J. Humphreys and A.P. Sutton, *Phys. Rev.B* 57 1505 (1998).
- D. L. Greenaway and R. Nitsche, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 26, 1445 (1965).